Thorium: The Fuel of the Future

Kunj Dhamsaniya
Symbiosis Centre for management Studies, Pune
Symbiosis International (Deemed University), kunjdhamsaniya@gmail.com
Anjuman Bansal
Symbiosis Centre for management Studies, Pune
Symbiosis International (Deemed University),anjumanjain515@gmail.com
Anant Jethalia
Symbiosis Centre for management Studies, Pune
Symbiosis International (Deemed University), anant.jethalia@gmail.com

Abstract

The overall purpose to write this research paper was to ascertain and elaborate the potential and feasibility of thorium to be used as a useful resource. Literature review in the research paper focuses on compiling useful information and conclusions from other sources about the use of thorium and also the comparison of thorium with uranium. The research methodology briefly describes the way in which the research was conducted by the team, and various tools that were used. Further, a section of the research focused on explaining in depth the consequences of Thorium on global climate change and environment. Moving forward, the section 'trends & prospects of Thorium' touched upon the current scenario of Thorium and several nations actively researching about Thorium and also the future prospects of Thorium based on the current progress. In the next part, a different angle is analyzed; Thorium and its role in nuclear proliferation and terrorism. It is compared with its widely used counterpart, Uranium, as well. Additionally, a comprehensive SWOT analysis is also conducted and represented in a comparative form.

Keywords: Thorium, Green Energy, Nuclear Energy, Sustainability, Nuclear weapon

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear power is generated by using nuclear reactions that generate heat by releasing nuclear energy, then steam turbine receives this nuclear energy and this is how the electricity is produced in a nuclear power plant. As of now, the nuclear fission reaction in uranium and plutonium is the most used method. While decay and fusion remains less used method in order to generate energy at this point in time.

(Rising, 2020) In 2018 electricity generated by nuclear energy contributed 2563 terawatt long stretches of regular citizen power which is 10% of worldwide power age which makes it the second biggest low carbon power source after hydroelectricity.

(Ritchie, 2020) The fatalities rate per unit of energy created of nuclear power is most minimal in contrast with any remaining fuel sources like coal, petrol, petroleum gas and hydroelectricity which have caused more fatalities via air contamination and mishaps. Since its commercialization during the 1970s, nuclear sources have forestalled about more than a million air related infections and the discharge of around sixty four billion tons of co2 identical that would have in any case come about because of the usage of non-renewable energy sources.

Coal, oil, petroleum gas and hydroelectricity each have caused more fatalities per unit of energy because of air contamination and mishaps. Mishaps in nuke energy stations, the Chernobyl catastrophe for the Soviet Union in 1986, the Fukushima Daiichi atomic debacle in Japan in 2011, and the more contained the 1979 mishap of Three Mile Island in United States. There have likewise been some atomic submarine mishaps.

There is contention about nuclear power. Safeguards, for instance, the World Atomic Association and Environmentalists for thermal power, fight that nuclear power is a protected, reasonable fuel source (also thermal power was proposed as maintainable sustainable power) that lessens carbon releases. Anti-nuclear bodies, for instance, Greenpeace and NIRS, battle that nuclear power presents various risks to people and nature.

(Katusa, The Thing About Thorium: Why The Better Nuclear Fuel May Not Get A Chance, 2012) The normal nuclear cycle starts with refined uranium metal, which is fundamentally U238 yet contains 3% to 5% U235. Most normally happening uranium is U238, however, this typical isotope doesn't go through parting – that is the cycle where the core breaks (parts) and deliveries gigantic measures of energy. Conversely, the less-common U235 is fissile. In that capacity, to acquire the reactor fuel we need to burn through an extensive measure of effort to improve the nature of the yellowcake, to help its extent of U235.

When the cycle begins in the reactor, U235 starts parting and delivering high-energy neutrons. The U238 doesn't simply sit relaxed by, in any case; it changes into other fissile components. At the point when a molecule of U238 retains a neutron, it changes into fleeting U239, which rapidly rots into neptunium-239 and ultimately into plutonium-239 (PU239), which is the weaponizable side-effect of the interaction.

At the point when just 0.3% is left subsequent to consumption, the fuel is spent, yet it contains americium, technetium, and iodine, just as plutonium which are some radioactive isotopes. This fuel that is a waste is exceptionally radioactive and the lowlifes – these high-mass isotopes – have half-existences of a long time. Thusly, the waste must be amassed as long as 10,000 years, segregated from the climate and from any individual who should get at the plutonium for loathsome reasons.

Thorium ends up being more advantageous from the earliest starting point at the mining and decontaminating stage as the vast majority of the normally discovered thorium is Th232, which is the isotope practical in atomic reactors. That is path better than the uranium which is gotten in 3% to 5% just in the structure we need.

Furthermore, another benefit of thorium responses on the security side. Thorium isn't fissile normal for U235. This means it has no effect on the number of thorium cores you pack together; they won't begin parting separated and detonating all alone. It's straightforward and simple to make thorium cores split separated: you simply need to begin tossing the neutrons at them and they will begin responding. At the point when you need to stop the response, simply turn off the wellspring of neutrons and the whole cycle closes down.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To ascertain how thorium based energy and fuel can potentially be an immensely sustainable source of green energy in the future.
- To know the impact of Thorium on global climate change and environment.
- To evaluate the trends and prospects of Thorium.
- To signify on the fact that whether Thorium could be used as a weapon for Nuclear Proliferation and Terrorism.
- To come across the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats related with Thorium.

Literature Review

(Kazuo Furukawa, 2012) in his study said that in the next century, the "fission breeder" thought will not be practical to deal with the overall imperativeness issues, including common and North-South issues. As another measure, a direct prudent Th fluid salt raising fuel cycle system, named "Thorium Molten-Salt Nuclear Energy Synergetic [THORIMS-NES]", which made out of essential power stations and fissile producers, is proposed. This is reasonable to set up the crucial improvement in issues of resources, prosperity, power-size versatility, antagonistic to nuclear increase and dread based abuse, radio waste, economy, etc ensuring about the fundamental action, uphold, compound getting ready, and objective replicating fuel cycle.

(MichelLung, 1998) claims that the thorium could be utilized essentially in a current reactor. These activities have been essentially brought to a stop for different reasons, besides in India, which has proceeded with its thorium exercises. As of late notwithstanding, new contemplations have resuscitated interest in thorium. An outline of the specificities of the thorium fuel cycle was done and the most fascinating undertakings dispatched during the 1960s are reviewed. The new ideas are examined momentarily and a few lines of thought for what's to come are proposed.

(TuranÜnak, 2000) inscribes that the worldwide appropriations of thorium and uranium saves obviously show that overall some developed nations like the USA, Canada, Australia have significant uranium saves and oppositely just some agricultural nations, for example, Brazil, Turkey, India, Egypt have extensive thorium saves as being absolutely around 70 % of the worldwide save. All specialized boundaries got from the examinations on thorium fuel cycle during the most recent 50 years demonstrate that thorium fuel cycle can be utilized in the majority of reactor types previously worked. Also, sped-up driven mixture frameworks guarantee to utilize the thorium-based atomic energizes. Thus, thorium will likely be an atomic material substantially more important than uranium later on. Hence, all non-industrial nations having thorium stores should center their mechanical considerations to the assessment of their public thorium assets like on account of India. In this paper, a short story on the investigations of thorium and its possible use later on energy creation innovation has been summed up.

(Rubbia, 2013) in this study discovers the groundbreaking advantages of thorium; especially if one ponders that a comparable proportion of electric energy may be made from 3 million tons of coal, from U-235 isolated from around 200 tons of normal uranium, or from just one ton of the hugely bountiful common thorium. Used in a stimulating specialist driven structure, thorium opens options for a safe atomic force, with an amazingly worked on fuel cycle, fundamentally limited creation of seemingly perpetual atomic waste, just as the chance of annihilating existing atomic waste and stores of military plutonium.

(Maiorino & Carluccio, 2004) in the paper wanted to gather these enhancements, with an emphasis in the Th/U twofold layers fuel cycle using ADS. Brazil has one of the best trademark stores of thorium, assessed in 1.2 an enormous number of colossal measures of ThO{sub 2}, as will be kept an eye on the present moment, hence R&D undertakings would be of intentionally public interest. In fact, in the past there was a couple of dares to utilize Thorium in Reactors, as the "Instinto/Toruna" Project, in a joint effort with France, to utilize Thorium in Pressurized Heavy Water Reactor, in the mid of sixties to mid of seventies, and the thorium use in PWR, in investment with German, from 1979-1988.

(Advantage environment, 2012) in this particular article points at several interesting points like even if thorium might not be a renewable resource per se, it is estimated to be at least 3-4 times more common than uranium. Moreover, there is limited radioactive debris when thorium is used as a nuclear fuel. Additionally, it is much more difficult to weaponries the thorium-based nuclear reactors, which is a benefiting factor for the world.

(Lowery, 2014) in this particular research paper illustrates Thorium's potential as a nuclear fuel to alter the future of the world's energy resources. It suggests that with additional full-scale research and development combined with our capability of constructing such a device as a Liquid Fluoride Thorium Reactor, Thorium energy could supply the entire world with enough energy for thousands of years.

(Hubbert, 1956) in this report endeavors to acquire an inexact thought of the world circumstance as for the prerequisites and supply of petroleum products just as whether thermal power from uranium and thorium will actually want to give an option in contrast to the non-renewable energy sources as the last methodology their inescapable weariness. The underlying stock of petroleum derivatives, decreased to a typical unit of energy, comprised of around 70% coal, 14% oil and flammable gas, and around 16% oil shale and tar sands. Is it achievable to in any case rely upon petroleum derivatives for the energy.

(Lenzen, 2008) in this paper depends on optional information gathered through extensive writing survey of energy and ozone harming substance outflows in the atomic fuel cycle. As the direness identified with environmental change has started reestablished interest in the thermal power choice. Considerable stream of examination on ozone depleting substance outflows and encapsulated energy related with atomic produced power. While conventional fossil fuelled power plants cause outpourings just from the plant site, the greater part of ozone exhausting substance radiations in the nuclear fuel cycle are caused in planning stages upstream and downstream from the plant.

(Schaffer, 2013) concludes that the significant contentions that were brought up in the examination for Thorium reactor over its Uranium partner were: Thorium is extensively more plentiful than

Uranium, Thorium reactors can limit squander capacity issues and Thorium reactors are more averse to be utilized for weapons grade materials.
All things considered, Thorium can be an appealing fuel in 3 forty years for created nations.

(Oleg, 2015) in this paper spots the clarification of the impacts which were discovered before in mathematical recreation of boundaries of open thorium-plutonium atomic fuel cycle has been advertised. Logical and specialized arrangements permit thinking about incorporating thorium-232 in the fuel of atomic reactors, which depend on existing plan arrangements, and starting to plan of new age materials: another age of fuel bars and fuel gatherings, where the isotope uranium-238 will be totally supplanted with thorium-232.
(Ault, 2017) in this article represents the advancement of exploration on Thorium fuel over the most recent eighty years of a few nations around the planet. The critical discoveries from this exploration were that over the most recent 10 years, Thorium research has developed. Also, in the 21st century, distribution levels on Thorium fuel has expanded from the top in 1970s, and the idea of these distributions and their fundamental tasks have changed, with public labs, long specialized reports, and trial just as exhibit considers ruling the 1960s–1970s period, while colleges, diary articles, and frameworks examinations have ruled ongoing occasions.

(Jordan, 2015) in this exploration gives a financial appraisal of Thorium accessibility, by utilizing a few factual devices. These apparatuses give two points of view on the financial matters accessibility of Thorium. In the long haul, actual amounts of Thorium probably won't be an obstacle to the advancement of a thorium fuel cycle. Nonetheless, in the medium term, Thorium supply might be restricted by limits related with its creation as a side-effect of uncommon earth components and weighty mineral sands. Natural concerns, social issues, guideline, and innovation likewise present issues for the medium and long haul supply of thorium.

(Stephen, 2012) in this paper endeavors to zero in on whether thorium being a miracle fuel could be utilized as a weapon for expansion or not. It additionally implied on the way that thorium fuel has a few dangers and dangers. The most well-known corrosive media procedure utilizes manganese dioxide to hasten the protactinium as protactinium oxide4. Any radiotoxic uranium results are broken up in corrosive and eliminated during the precipitation. This technique was utilized during the 1960s by scientists at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in Tennessee to remove 1 g of 233Pa from 200 g of an illuminated thorium compound3.

(NEA, 2015) in this specific article brings up that for us to procure the benefits of Thorium, we will require a lot of uranium-233, which is at present just accessible by the utilization of thorium blended in with 'customary' uranium/plutonium fills. That being said, on the off chance that we figure out how to do this, we will receive a ton of rewards like:

1. Potential for a bigger segment of the fuel being utilized to support the atomic chain response, and in this way less waste delivered for a similar measure of energy created;

2. Higher softening temperatures of thorium-based energizes, which can be critical on account of a mishap;

3. Lower creation of plutonium;

4. Good "neutronic" properties, specifically the number and energy of the neutrons delivered by the splitting responses.

Statement of problem

Through the research we conducted, we were able to gain an in-depth understanding of the prospects and current scenario of Thorium. Moreover, we were able to ascertain the realistic viability of using Thorium to replace its counterpart Uranium. All in all, we feel that our research succeeded in adding additional inputs to the existing research that has been conducted. The main focus of our research is to determine whether usage of thorium as a source of energy can be more feasible and sustainable in compare to any other or all other energy sources of renewable and non-renewable energy.

Research Methodology

Literature review has been directed to gather information from different sources (secondary). This incorporates diary articles, meetings, and report records. Auxiliary Data sources have been gotten from various exploration distributing stages, ecological sites, news sites, business sites, and magazines which were considered during the work area audit. Respectable diaries, books, various articles, gatherings' records, magazines, papers, sites, and different sources were considered on the thermal power.

Discussion and Findings

- **Impacts of Thorium on global climate change and environment**

Climate change is one of the most significant problem wandering around our heads and as the awareness about the topic increases it leads to increase in demand for clean and green energy. Solar, hydro, wind, tidal, biofuels and geothermal energy are a definitely a part of the clean energy equation and help us take advantage of more natural energy but many people in spite of a few disasters argue that nuclear energy is the best option to complete this equation and to reduce the current carbon emission at a considerable rate to avoid catastrophic climate change. But nuclear

energy has other side to it that is radioactive waste, meltdown risks and weapons proliferations and that's when Thorium comes into the play. Thorium based energy does not generate much radioactive waste and even the radioactivity of waste is significantly low as well as it also does not generate plutonium 239 which is used in nuclear weapons.

Indeed, even recognized environment researchers like James Hansen guarantee we can't maintain a strategic distance from atomic on the off chance that we need to decrease ozone harming substance outflows. A previous NASA researcher, many other researcher from different universities composed an open letter a year ago expressing, "the opportunity has arrived for the individuals who treat the danger of an unnatural weather change appropriately to accept the turn of events and organization of more secure atomic force frameworks."

Also, to make thermal power more secure one thought is to utilize thorium rather than uranium. Thorium is more plentifully accessible than uranium and not at all like uranium, it's not fissile but rather ripe; that is, it can't be isolated to make an atomic chain response, so it should be reproduced through atomic reactors to create fissile uranium. As referenced Thorium-fuelled reactors produces less waste. Some minor components in squander uranium stays radioactive for millennia, while levels of radioactivity in spent thorium energizes drop off a lot quicker.

Also, to manage the emergency hazard nations like China and Canada are chipping away at different various plans that incorporates thorium alongside reused uranium fuel and with the correct sort of results the emergency dangers can be diminished or killed. A portion of the reactors that right now use uranium including substantial water reactors can likewise representative Thorium and new advancements like liquid salt reactors and fluid fluoride reactors can be a lot more secure and proficient than momentum regular reactors, as indicated by the specialists.

- **Trends & Prospects of Thorium**

Thorium, a radioactive component, has been around the world perceived as an intriguing and profoundly planned asset in the world. The worldwide thorium market has been supported by the consistently rising applications in the creation of atomic fuel.

As for the current situation of Thorium as a nuclear fuel, countries like China and India with high Thorium reserves have always been actively attempting to work on the Thorium nuclear fuel reactors. Other nations like the USA, UK, Canada, Germany, Japan and Israel also have active research programs.

(MIT, 2011) Thorium proceeds to continually stand out, particularly since examination into this may permit nations like India, with 61,000 tons in Uranium saves contrasted with 225,000 tons of Thorium holds, to acquire critical energy freedom. Additionally, China has likewise declared that

its scientists will prevail with regards to delivering a completely utilitarian thorium atomic reactor inside the following 10 years.

Norway is at present amidst a four-year trial of utilizing thorium fuel bars in existing atomic reactors. Also, specialists at the Nuclear Research and Consulting Group, a Dutch atomic examination foundation, figured out how to fabricate a liquid salt reactor fueled by Thorium, which is an incredible turn of events.

All in all, in spite of some current drawbacks, recent progress and development shows that Thorium nuclear fuel reactors might become a reality sooner than we can anticipate.

- **Thorium: as a weapon for Nuclear Proliferation and Terrorism**

Nuclear Proliferation is alluded to the spread of atomic weapons, atomic weapons' innovation, or fissile material to regions that don't as of now have them. It can likewise be named as the conceivable obtaining of atomic weapons by psychological militant association or other furnished gatherings. When contrasted with U-Pu cycles, thorium could be for the most part acknowledged as expansion safe. The compound partition of plutonium from the waste makes it effectively fit to be utilized in bombs. Whenever dealt with cautiously, even the reactor-grade plutonium can be utilized as a hazardous. Shirking of plutonium through and through makes thorium cycles prevalent in such manner.

Due to U-232, Thorium can protect itself from the hard gamma rays emitted and thus stealing of thorium based fuels become more challenging. The weapon fabrication gets difficult because of the heat from these gammas. Also, the decay chain of U-232 is responsible for the occurrence of these gammas. After the chemical separation of the contaminants, it becomes easier to work with the material obtained. The gammas take long time to come back.

The chemical separation of Protactinium after its production and removal from the neutron flux possesses a hypothetical but possible proliferation concern with thorium fuel. Due to this, it will perish to pure U-233. Also, U-235 is less dangerous to handle than U-233 which makes it more difficult while crafting a nuclear weapon. Uranium is a chemically less stable fuel than thorium. However, there is still an underlying potential for danger after the performance of early nuclear tests utilizing thorium.

- **Cost benefit analysis of Thorium**

The expense of fuel to produce thorium energy is fundamentally lower than a strong fuel reactor. The price of salts and thorium is about 150 dollars and 30 dollars respectively.

The mainstream thorium gets the lower the expense gets as thorium is broadly accessible anyplace in the world's covering. Verifiably, in the uncommon earth metal mining thorium used to get thrown out as a side-effect. With extraction, we can get thorium that could control LFTRs for millennia. Since LFTRs use thorium in its characteristic state, and no costly filtration cycles or strong fuel poles are required, which means the fuel costs are altogether lower than a similar strong fuel reactor. That is for a 1 GW office, around $5 million will be the material expense., The post synthetic reprocessing would permit a LFTR to productively burn-through virtually the entirety of its fuel, leaving minimal waste or result dissimilar to a regular reactor, in an in a perfect world working reactor.

SWOT Analysis

"Figure I – SWOT analysis of Thorium"

Strengths:-

- **Abundant Availability:** (whatisnuclear.com, 2007)Thorium is more bountiful in Earth's Crust, at centralization of 0.0006% VS 0.00018% for Uranium. Additionally, it is discovered

that there is considerable Uranium broken down in ocean water while there is 86,000X less Thorium in there.

- **Sustainability:** one can create undeniably additional time energy and cash with thorium as it produces much more energy per ton.
- **Environmental Impacts:** The significant impediment of coal is its negative effect on the climate. Coal-consuming energy plants are a significant wellspring of air contamination and ozone harming substance outflows. Notwithstanding carbon monoxide and hefty metals like mercury, the utilization of coal discharges sulfur dioxide, a hurtful substance connected to corrosive downpour. While thorium energy doesn't produce carbon.
- **Checks Proliferation:** Multiplication is immeasurably abridged by thorium-based force reactor fuel as it is a helpless hotspot for fissile material. Presence of U-232 in Thorium prompts the development of a solid gamma radiation field that upgrades the recognizability and capacity to shield the material.
- **Less Nuclear Waste Production:** When contrasted with other atomic powers, less measures of waste are delivered by thorium atomic force reactors and the radioactivity levels of thorium squander are found to fall in a lot more limited period.
- **Safety:** Mining thorium is more secure and more proficient when contrasted with mining of Uranium or coal. Additionally, there are critical measures of thorium in thorium's metal monazite, hence making the component's extraction financially savvy absent a lot of effect on the climate.

Weakness:-

- **High Start-Up Costs:** Thorium atomic force reactor requires a critical measure of testing, examination, and permitting work and subsequently, tremendous ventures are required. Additionally, the profits on the ventures of such reactor are unsure. Other than speculation costs, the reactors include high fuel creation and reprocessing costs.
- **High Melting Point of Thorium Oxide:** When contrasted with uranium oxide, the dissolving point of thorium oxide is a lot higher (500 degrees Celsius higher) because of which high temperatures are expected to make high thickness ThO2 and ThO2-based blended oxide fills.
- **Less Experience with Thorium:** The nuclear experts are lacking operational experience with thorium due to which the nuclear industry is quite conservative about it.

Opportunities:-

- **Commercialization of thorium energy:** There is a huge scope of advancement if we commercialize thorium because one thorium plant is more sustainable than one coal plant or an uranium plant.

- **Opportunity for India:** India arguably has the world's largest thorium reserve and if India successfully is able to convert it into an energy source then it will be become one of the super powers in the world.

Threats:-

- **Health Effects:** It is critical to arrange thorium as indicated by the appropriate methodology close to dangerous waste destinations as individuals living close to these locales may get presented to uncontrolled a lot of thorium than expected as they take in wind-blown residue. Unordinary openness to thorium may expand the odds of improvement of lung and pancreas malignant growth and lung infection. Thorium is radioactive and in this way can even reason bone disease.
- **The Protactinium Problem:** Pa 233 is shaped as a transitional during the transformation chain of Th-232 to U-233, which has a more drawn out half-life in the uranium fuel cycle. To finish the rot of Pa-233 to U-233 and maintain a strategic distance from loss of any U-233 fissile material, the cooling season of in any event a year preceding reprocessing is important. If not dealt with cautiously, there could be a drawn out radiological effect due to the development of Pa-231.
- **Other renewable sources:** Solar energy is quite popular and widely used when it comes to renewable energy. It's more accessible and is not dependent on any fuel.

Conclusion

The main objective of to write these paper was to show a clear comparison between Thorium energy and other sources of energy and show the impact of adapting thorium energy in different perspectives like environmental perspective, economical perspective, future trends and nuclear proliferation. And to make the comparison more clearly we have also include SWOT analysis (strengths, weakness, opportunities and threats). After collecting all the data and analyzing it we have found that thorium is proven to more cost effective. Its waste can't be converted in nuclear weapon and less radioactive. It's also sustainable and consumes less land space as compared to solar and wind. It doesn't harm the environment as thermal and has potential to replace thermal energy.

On the bases of our research we strongly recommend thorium to be considered as a potential alternative to uranium and all other energy sources in general. As it is safer in compare to uranium, more economically viable as it is abundantly available and unlike solar energy it consumes way lesser land, it is environment friendly and has no risk of its left over being used for the destructive weapons. But we also understand that due to lack of research and not many experiments with thorium we can't come to any conclusion. We strongly suggest that more studies and experiments

should take place and should be supported by the concerned authorities as it shows very strong possibilities of becoming the fuel of the future before coming to any conclusions.

References

Advantage environment. (2012, July 12). *Is thorium a cleaner, safer and cheaper alternative to uranium?* Retrieved from Advantage Environment: http://advantage-environment.com/future/is-thorium-a-cleaner-safer-and-cheaper-alternative-to-uranium/#:~:text= The%20advantages% 20of%20thorium&text=And%20in%20terms%20of%20chemical,available%20and%20relatively%20safe%20energy.

Ault, T. S. (2017). *Thorium Fuel Cycle Research and Literature: Trends and Insights from Eight Decades of Diverse Projects and Evolving Priorities.* 726-738: Annals of Nuclear Energy.

Hubbert, M. K. (1956). Nuclear Energy and the Fossil Fuel. (p. 19). American Petroleum Institute.

(2015). *Introduction of Thorium in the Nuclear Fuel Cycle.* ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT.

Jordan, B. W. (2015). *Thorium: Crustal Abundance, Joint Production, and Economic Availability.*

Katusa, M. (2012, Feb 16). *The Thing About Thorium: Why The Better Nuclear Fuel May Not Get A Chance*. Retrieved from Forbes: https://www.forbes.com/sites/energysource/2012/02/16/the-thing-about-thorium-why-the-better-nuclear-fuel-may-not-get-a-chance/?sh=33313df61d80

Katusa, M. (n.d.). *The Thing About Thorium: Why The Better Nuclear Fuel May Not Get A Chance*. Retrieved from https://www.forbes.com/: https://www.forbes.com/sites/energysource /2012 /02 /16/the-thing-about-thorium-why-the-better-nuclear-fuel-may-not-get-a-chance/#7ac247571d80

Kazuo Furukawa, A. L. (2012). Thorium Molten-Salt Nuclear Energy Synergetics. *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*, 1157-1178 .

Lenzen, M. (2008). Life cycle energy and greenhouse gas emissions of nuclear energy: A review. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2178-2199.

Lowery, A. &. (2014). The Feasibility and Practicality of Introducing Thorium into the Current Energy Market. . *Research Gate*.

Maiorino, J. R., & Carluccio, T. (2004). A Review of Thorium Utilization as an option for Advanced Fuel Cycle--Potential Option for Brazil in the Future. *U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information.*

MichelLung, O. (1998). Perspectives of the thorium fuel cycle. *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, 133-146.

MIT. (2011). *The future of the nuclear cycle.* MIT.

NEA. (2015). *Introduction of Thorium in th nuclear fuel cycle.* OECD. Retrieved from Greenfact.

Rising, A. (2020). *World Nuclear Performance Report 2020.* World Nuclear Association.

Ritchie, H. (2020). What are the safest and cleanest sources of energy? *Our world in data*.

Rubbia, C. (2013). *A future for Thorium Power ?*

Schaffer, M. B. (2013). *Abundant Thorium as an Alternative Nuclear Fuel.* 4-12: Energy Policy.

Surampalli, S. (n.d.). *Is Thorium the Fuel of the Future to Revitalize Nuclear?* Retrieved from www.power-eng.com: https://www.power-eng.com/2019/08/13/is-thorium-the-fuel-of-the-future-to-revitalize-nuclear/#gref

Suzuki, D. D. (2014, feb. 11). *Will Thorium Save Us From Climate Change?* Retrieved from Ecowatch: https://www.ecowatch.com/will-thorium-save-us-from-climate-change-1881859626.html

Suzuki, D. D. (n.d.). *Will Thorium Save Us From Climate Change?* Retrieved from https://www.ecowatch.com/will-thorium-save-us-from-climate-change-1881859626.html

Thorium As Nuclear Fuel. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://whatisnuclear.com/: https://whatisnuclear.com/thorium.html

TuranÜnak. (2000). What is the potential use of thorium in the future energy production technology? *Progress in Nuclear Energy*, 137-144.

University, O. (n.d.). *Thorium: Proliferation warnings on nuclear 'wonder-fuel'*. Retrieved from https://phys.org/: https://phys.org/news/2012-12-thorium-proliferation-nuclear-wonder-fuel.html

Warmflash, D. (n.d.). *Thorium Power Is the Safer Future of Nuclear Energy*. Retrieved from www.discovermagazine.com: https://www.discovermagazine.com/technology/thorium-power-is-the-safer-future-of-nuclear-energy

whatisnuclear.com. (2007). *Thorium As Nuclear Fuel*. Retrieved from what is nuclear.

World Nuclear Association. (2020, November). Retrieved from https://www.world-nuclear.org/: https://www.world-nuclear.org/information-library/current-and-future-generation/thorium.aspx